EOOLT 2013 - 5th International Workshop on Equation-Based Object-Oriented Modeling Languages and Tools April 19th, 2013 - University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK

Modeling System Requirements in Modelica: Definition and Comparison of Candidate Approaches

Andrea Tundis, Alfredo Garro

Systems Engineering and Integration (SEI) Research Group

Department of Computer Engineering, Modeling, Electronics, and System Sciences (DIMES)

University of Calabria – ITALY





Peter Fritzson, Lena Buffoni-Rogovchenko Programming Environment Laboratory (PELAB)

> Department of Computer and Information Science (IDA)

Linköping University - SWEDEN



Outline

- Introduction and Reference Context
- Motivations and Needs: Why?
- Aim of the Proposal
 - A Meta-Model for representing System Requirements
 - Approaches for modeling System Requirements in Modelica
 - A case study
- Conclusions and Future perspectives

Introduction and Context

- Functional Safety: the functional correctness of a component is the guarantee that the component behaves the way it should and fulfills all the functional requirements of the system in order to make it more reliable.
- RAMS (*Reliability*, *Availability*, *Maintainability* and *Safety*): the engineering discipline which aims at providing an integrated and methodological approach to deal with system dependability.
- Verification&Validation: to provide support for the verification and the validation of models of a systems engineering process in order to check the correctness of the system by verifying the simulated behavior vs. expected/intended behavior against requirements.

Motivations and Needs

Motivations:

- lack of (i) models to make requirements machine-readable and executable;
 (ii) methods that provide support during the Design Phase of a system engineering process for the formalization and evaluation of requirements to guarantee their fulfillment.
- a high risk of having to revise basic *design choices* with a consequent increasing in both *completion time* and *development cost*.

Need of:

- *Models* for representing system requirements in a more formal way;
- Methods and techniques centered on model-based approaches able to support the modeling, evaluation, and validation of requirements;
- Tools and Simulation Environments, able to make easier System Safety analysis.



Modrio project (WP2) – ITEA 2



WP2's Objectives:

- Formalization of system requirements;
- Definition of methods for Safety Analysis of physical systems.

Aim of the Proposal

General Goal

- (i) to develop a comprehensive approach for the *definition and modeling* of requirements of a physical system in a more formal way;
- (ii) to define a mechanism to enable their traceability in order to support the verification process through simulation.

Proposal

- A *meta-model* to represent system requirements;
- *Approaches* to model them in an equation-based context;
- Some *extensions* of the Modelica language are introduced;
- Implementation in OpenModelica (Open Source).





Andrea Tundis - SEI Research Group - DIMES Department - University of Calabria

Main Concepts:

- requirement: which is represented by a RequirementAssertion able to validate the behavior of a specific PhysicalComponentModel which is related to, or to validate interactions among different PhysicalComponentModels
- fulfill: which expresses the entailment relationship between *PhysicalComponentModels* and a *RequirementAssertion*, as well as among *RequirementAssertions*. *Fulfill* provides the propagation process of an assessment among *RequirementAssertions*.

Ex. PhysicalComponentModel **c1, c2, c3;** RequirementAssertion **ra1, ra2, ra3;**

c1 fulfill ra1; \rightarrow ra1 Complex ra1 fulfill ra2; \rightarrow ra2 Simple c2, c3, ra2, fulfill ra3. \rightarrow ra3 Complex



ComputationalModel:

- it defines the **Behavior** of a *PhysicalComponentModel*;
- It is used to express a *Measure* of a *RequirementAssertion*.



Status: in order to represent the status of fulfillment of the requirement, which in turn is defined in terms of a *StatusType* and a *StatusValue*.

Each Status could have:

- a *Counter* counting how many times the *RequirementAssertion* has gone in a specific state
- and a *Timestamp* in order to register each occurrence of the event.





StatusOfActivation: a *RequirementAssertion* can be *Enabled* and *Disabled* in order to decide if it takes/doesn't take part in a specific scenario or simulation run;

EvaluationPeriod: to indicate when the *RequirementAssertion* has to be evaluated according to possible *PreConditions* and *PostConditions*

Metric: to describe the objective to be verified for which the *RequirementAssertion* has been defined (e.g. MTTF);

It has to define a way which *objectively* allows its evaluation in terms of *Measure* (e.g. the MTTF can be meseasured as number of failures in a period of time).



Requirement

Assertion

Approaches for Modeling System Requirements in Modelica

 They are based on the two main concepts of *RequirementAssertion* and *Fulfill* as stated into the proposed meta-model.



Exploiting the Approach A: a Case Study

An example of scenario



How does the Source Code look like?



Approaches for Modeling System Requirements in Modelica

Approach B: It is a variant of the previous approach to avoid the exploitation of the construct of "connect" between *RequirementAssertion component* and *Physical Component*.

Beside to keyword *requirement*, the *On*-keyword is introduced. "*On*" allows a *RequirementAssertion* to be defined on a specific model and by inheriting their attributes, on which it will carry out processing.



How does the Source Code look like?



Exploiting the Approach B: a Case Study





Modeling Dysfunctional Behavior and Scenarios

Approach C: This approach takes into account the possibility of considering the feature of altering the values, as well as provide parameters setting of particular scenarios, of the components by extending the previous approaches.





Modeling Dysfunctional Behavioral and Scenarios











Andrea Tundis - SEI Research Group - DIMES Department - University of Calabria 23

Exploiting the Approach C: a Case Study

3 Tester components





How does the Source Code look like?



Conclusions and Future perspectives

Contribution:

- A reference *Meta-model* for representing System Requirements in terms of *RequirementAssertions* has been defined.
- Possible extensions of the Modelica language: new concepts and keywords, such as *requirement* and *fulfill* for supporting the verification of models as well as *supersede* and *tester* for parameters setting of scenarios, have been introduced.
- Three *approaches* for the modeling of System Requirements that adhere to the proposed meta-model, have been outlined.

Ongoing and future works:

- Improvement of Modelica extensions and their implementation in OpenModelica for <u>the verification and validation of models</u>;
- Definition and implementation of OpenModelica API for enabling Fault Tree Analysis;
- Definition of a *Methodology* for supporting the Modeling and the Validation process of physical systems.

Andrea Tundis - SEI Research Group - DIMES Department - University of Calabria 26

Thank you!



atundis@dimes.unical.it

